## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by either submission using the EFS WEB submission system, fax to the U.S. Patent and Trademark office to fax number <u>571-273-8300</u>, or is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on October 29, 2009.

/Brian C. Kunzler/
Attorney for Applicant

PATENT Docket No. TUC920030083US1

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Applicant:  | Scott Milton Fry   |                           |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|
| Serial No.: | 10/644,378   |                           |
| Filed:      | August 20, 2003  | Group Art<br>  Unit: 2129 |
| For:        | APPARATUS, SYSTEM, AND METHOD FOR DEVELOPING FAILURE PREDICTION SOFTWARE | <br>                      |
| Examiner:   | Peter D. Coughlan  |                           |

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Dear Examiner:

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's attention to this case and recognition of allowable subject matter. In response to the Telephone Interview held on September 29, 2009, Applicants respectfully provide this Interview Summary describing the substance of the Telephone Interview.

**Interview Summary** begins on page 2 of this paper.

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY** 

[0001] Applicants express their appreciation to the Examiner for conducting a telephone

interview with Applicants on September 29, 2009. Examiner Peter D. Coughlan, Examiner

Supervisor David Vincent and Applicants' representatives Brian C. Kunzler (Reg. No. 38,527)

and Scott Hilton were present.

[0002] Applicants provided a summary of the invention, focusing on the portion of the

previous amendment that was objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Applicants discussed

paragraphs [0089], [0094]-[0095], [0125], and [0127] of the originally filed application which

support the previous amendment. The amendment recites adjusting a fuzzy variable definition

"dynamically at runtime." The cited paragraphs detail the loading of machine-readable code onto

a storage system, and state that the machine-readable code includes an interface for allowing a

user to selectively adjust a fuzzy variable definition during execution of the machine-readable

code.

[0003] The Examiners agreed that selectively adjusting a fuzzy variable definition during

execution of machine-readable code supports the recitation of tuning a failure prediction

algorithm dynamically during runtime. The Examiners stated that the rejection under 35 U.S.C.

§ 112 would be removed and that all claims would be found allowable, pending an updated

search. Applicants agreed to wait for further correspondence on the case from the Examiners.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 29, 2009

Kunzler & McKenzie

8 E. Broadway, Suite 600

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Telephone: 801/994-4646

\_/Brian C. Kunzler/\_\_

Brian C. Kunzler

Reg. No. 38,527

Attorney for Applicant

-2-